It was reported vide complaint R.No. Nil dated 06.03.2014 by Mohd. Shoaib S/o Zaheer Ahmad, En. No.GH-0189 a student of XII-PCM that on 6.3.2014 while he was near N.T. Hall on the way to his home after appearing in the exam, Mohd. Affan alongwith Rehan, Shoaib Azmi and Shadab Azmi surrounded him. Thereafter, Rehan, Shoaib and Shadab started firing on him with country made pistol (Katta) as a result of which he sustained two bullet shots in his legs causing profuse bleeding and he fell down on the ground.

It was further reported by Mohd. Shoaib that he, perceiving his life in danger, pleaded for mercy with aforementioned student and his companions, thereupon Azeem and afore-noted student hit him with bricks on his head causing severe head injuries and he was left by the criminals as dead. All the attackers reportedly were of criminal background and cases are registered against them in the Police Station Civil Lines, Aligarh. FIR dated 06.03.2014 regarding the incident mentioned above was lodged in the Police Station, Civil Lines, Aligarh.

In view of the above, it was a prima facie made out that the aforementioned student, committed acts of indiscipline and misconduct under Part-II, Rule-4 (iii), (vii), (xiv), & (xxii) of AMU Students Conduct and Discipline Rules, 1985.

The aforementioned student therefore was suspended from the rolls of the University vide Office Memo No 63/Proc. dated 09.03.2014. The Charge Sheet was also issued to him vide L.D.No.1134/Proc. dated 20.03.2014 and his reply of the charge sheet was received in this office R.No. 1936/Proc. dated 25.03.2014. Hence the matter was listed for hearing. A notice was sent to the aforementioned student vide D.No.1237/Proc. dated 31.03.2014 to present himself before the Discipline Committee on its schedule date, time and venue.

The aforementioned student accordingly appeared in person before the Discipline Committee on the scheduled dated and time. The Chairman of the Discipline Committee complying with the principle of natural justice read over the article of the charges and required the student under reference to explain his position.

The student supra refuted out rightly charges made out against him. He pretended before the Committee to be totally ignorant and innocent of the incident leading to his suspension. But he could not give any direct or circumstantial evidence proving his innocence. However while he was presenting his case no remorse was noticeable either in his oral submission or demeanor to the members of the Discipline Committee. The aforementioned student imitated tutored statement depicting himself innocent to the Committee members. But his defence was superfluous and concocted hence was unworthy of credit by the Committee.